

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No.10/602,572
Filing Date June 24, 2003
Inventorship Tunning, Brian R.
Applicant.....Microsoft Corporation
Group Art Unit..... 2178
Examiner Manglesh M. Patel
Attorney's Docket No.MS1-1483US
Title: Content Template System

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED JUNE 1, 2006 AS**PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT FOR AN RCE**

To: Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From: Mark Farrell (Tel. 509-324-9256 ext 243; Fax 509-323-8979)
Lee & Hayes, PLLC
421 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 500
Spokane, WA 99201

Customer # 22801

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Applicant respectfully requests entry of the following amendment and remarks contained herein in response to the Office Action mailed June 1, 2006. Applicant respectfully submits that the amendment and remarks contained herein place the instant application in condition for allowance.

Statement of Substance of Examiner Interview begins on page 3.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected by the listing of claims that begins on page 4 of this document.

Remarks start on page 11 of this document.

Statement of the Substance of Examiner Interview

By telephone, on August 8, 2006

Participants: Manglesh M. Patel, PTO; Cesar Paula, PTO; Mark Farrell,
Applicant's Rep.

Claim 1 was discussed in context of Esposito and Donohue prior art references.
Final agreement was not reached on claim 1, pending further search and
consideration, but allowance of claim 1 was not ruled out either.

Applicant pointed out that Applicant's mark-up language elements/"tags" can
make use of element behaviors to provide organic functionality of Applicant's
"Content Template System." This allows coordinated tags in the system to replace
the use of multiple documents (i.e., to avoid numerous separate web page
documents; template documents; style sheet document; etc.) in authoring a web
page. Applicant submitted that the Content Template System goes beyond the
functionality of the Esposito and Donohue cited references: such as, e.g., an
ability to edit remote content on the fly from the running web document even if
the remote content is in a format that is foreign to the web document – all within
the functionality of the single tag and its associated element behavior. Also aired
was that the various types of tags of Applicant's content template system (e.g., edit
tag, resource tag, pane tag, preview tag...) may work on or from the same remote
content (e.g., data file; keyboard input) and harmonize with each other so that they
don't conflict in handling the remote content.